REMARKS

Following entry of the present Supplemental Response and Amendment, new claims 65 - 102 are pending in this application, with claims 65, 86, and 96 being written in independent format.

The Office Action dated November 23, 2004 rejected prior claims 1-26, under 35 U.S.C. § 101 as purportedly being directed to non-statutory subject matter. By Applicants' Amendment dated April 25, 2005 Applicants cancelled claims 1-26 and presented new claims 27-64. The Office Communication dated June 27, 2005 considered Applicants' Amendment to be not fully responsive and withdrew new claims 27-64 from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention.

By this Supplemental Response and Amendment claims 65-102 are presented. These claims have been amended to clarify the subject matter of the present invention and to place the present application in condition for allowance. Specifically, it is believed that the new claims 65-102, corresponding to previous claims 27-64, are presently amended so that they are directed to the negotiation of transportation contracts, specifically contracts for transportation lanes classified in class 705 subcalss 8, as originally contained in claims 1-26. Applicants submit that the above-requested amendments do not add new subject matter, and respectfully request reconsideration of the merits of the present application in accordance with these amendments and the following remarks.

35 U.S.C. § 102

The Office Action dated November 23, 2004 rejected claims 1-26 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being obvious in view of the combination of Carlton-Foss (U.S. No. 6,647,373) and Barni (U.S. No. 6,064,981). Specifically, the Office Action alleged that the claimed invention is obvious because Carlton-Foss discloses a system for managing reverse auctions and because Barni allegedly discloses an electronic exchange that enables buyers to schedule desired services according to transaction catalogs, and provides buyers with the ability to place bids on transportation capacity in seller's auctions and the ability to conduct buyer's auctions in which

Application No. 09/775,265 Supplemental Response and Amendment dated July 27, 2005 In Reply to Office Communication mailed June 27, 2005

sellers can place bids on services desired by the buyers. Insofar as this ground for rejection applies to the claims as presently amended, Applicants respectfully traverse.

Carlton-Foss provides general technology for enabling a reverse auction, and is otherwise not specifically applicable to the present invention as presently claimed. Specifically, Carlton-Foss does not in anyway address the problems associated with establishing a transportation trading network. As explained in greater detail below, Barni does not make up this deficiency.

As explained in Applicants' specification, various embodiments of the present invention address, *inter alia*, the failing that currently available centralized electronic market solutions have not allowed carriers and shipping customers to adequately exploit established business relationships in a manner similar to what occurs in traditional real-life market situations. A careful reading of Barni reveals that it does not mention or otherwise address this failing, and, as will be made clear by the balance of Applicants' remarks, and similarly does not disclose or teach the various embodiments of Applicants' invention as is claimed.

Taking independent claim 65 first, Applicants claim an electronic market for negotiating a transportation contract between buyers and sellers for one or more transporation lanes. The electronic market includes an auction transaction system and a catalog transaction system in electronic communication with one another, and means for said buyers and sellers to communicate with said auction transaction system and said catalog transaction system. The means for communicating is adapted to enable buyers and sellers to interact through the catalog transaction system and the auction transaction system. Further, the market enables buyers and sellers to designate selected other buyers and sellers as preferred trading partners within the auction transaction system or catalog transaction system. Using the designation of these preferred trading partners, the market optionally allows particular quoted rates and particular auctions to be made available to only said preferred trading partners.

Independent claim 86 recites a software tool for negotiating a transporation contract between buyers and sellers for one or more transporation lanes. The tool implements a set of instructions for establishing an electronic exchange network enabling buyers at their option to schedule desired services according to transaction catalogs published by said sellers, to place bids on transportation capacity being auctioned by sellers in seller's auctions, or to conduct a buyer's

Application No. 09/775,265 Supplemental Response and Amendment dated July 27, 2005 In Reply to Office Communication mailed June 27, 2005

auction enabling sellers to place bids on rights to perform said desired services of said buyers. The tool also allows at least some buyers or sellers designate selected other buyers and/or sellers to be preferred trading partners with regard to one or more of the transaction catalogs, auctioned capacity, and auctioned rights to perform services. As recited in claim 86, the designated preferred trading partners are given preferential access over buyers or sellers not designated as preferred trading partners in the electronic exchange network to certain discounted shipping rates or certain auctions.

Finally, independent claim 96 recites a software application for conducting a multiple level non-public auction in a transportation services market among a plurality of buyers and a plurality of sellers, where the software performs the functions of establishing the format of the auction as having multiple levels of non-public auction where the levels are arranged from a first level to a last level, and defining auction parameters detailing a form of acceptable bids and an identification of one or more transporation lanes or capacity being auctioned. The auction parameters also include the designation of certain buyers or sellers as preferred trading partners for one or more levels of said multiple level non-public auction wherein only those buyers or sellers designated as preferred trading partners may bid in any one level of said multiple level non-public auction. Starting at the first level and then for each level of said multiple level non-public auction, the method includes publishing notice to buyers and/or sellers designated as preferred trading partners, receiving bids from designated preferred trading partners and monitoring the received bids for a winning bid. The method proceeds to a next level of the non-public auction, if available, if an instruction is received indicating no winning bidder has been identified from the received and monitored bids.

Barni, however, discloses an Internet based system that allows multiple carriers and multiple shipping customers to exchange shipping information electronically. The Barni system allows carriers to post rates via an Internet web site and provides a mechanism whereby customers can either place secure orders based upon on those posted rates or can place bids in a online auction environment. Unlike the present invention as claimed, Barni does not disclose the use or concept of preferred trading partners. At column 7, lines 46-54, Barni states that "[p]referably, only registered customers or subscribers are permitted to engage in accepting

posted seller bids." This is describing the fact that, in Barni's system, users of the auction and/or other features of the system would have to be registered with the system (i.e., have previously created an account, login, and or password). This is NOT the same as an auctioning party designating a subset of preferred trading partners from the available universe of registered buyers/sellers to whom access to an auction will be granted.

Furthermore, specifically with regard to claims 65-85, neither the cited portion nor the remainder of Barni disclose the concept of making particular quoted rates and/or particular auctions available to only designated preferred trading partners. Thus, Barni in no way can be characterized as disclosing preferred trading partners as is recited in all present claims, as one of ordinary skill in the art will readily appreciate after reviewing Applicants' disclosure.

With regard specifically to independent claims 86 and 96 and the claims dependent therefrom, it is similarly clear that Barni does not disclose, teach or suggest a tool for conducting a multiple level non-public auction in a transportation services market among a plurality of buyers and a plurality of sellers where certain buyers or sellers are designated as preferred trading partners for one or more levels of said multiple level non-public auction such that only those buyers or sellers designated as preferred trading partners may bid in any particular level of the multiple level non-public auction.

Thus, Applicants respectfully submits that Barni does not disclose, teach, or otherwise suggest the present invention as recited in independent claims. Therefore, this ground for rejection has been overcome, and that all claims should be allowed over Barni for these reasons alone.

Although claims 66-85, 87-95, and 97-102 are allowable over Barni in light of the above arguments as depending for allowable claims, Applicants request independent reconsideration of the dependent claims as it is submitted that they recite various additional distinguishing features with regard to the prior art. For example, claims 66-69 and 84-85 describe an execution system that, for example, generates purchase orders for catalog transactions, generates automated tender offer for auction transactions, and allows electronic integration with billing and message systems of buyers and sellers. Also, as recited in claims 76-78, the electronic market's auction transaction system can be adapted to perform, for example, private auctions for preferred trading

Application No. 09/775,265

Supplemental Response and Amendment dated July 27, 2005

In Reply to Office Communication mailed June 27, 2005

partners, multiple level private auctions for various levels of preferred trading partners (which is

also described in independent claim 73 and all claims dependent therefrom), and private auctions

that can ultimately be opened up as a public auction for all members of the market. Similar

private and non-public auction features are recited in claims 87-89. Claim 83 describes that the

execution allows buyers to download relevant catalog rates electronically for use in their private

networks (such as, for example, use in a private transportation planning and optimization

system).

Independent reconsideration of the applicability of Barni to all claims in the present

application in light of the above remarks is respectfully requested.

Conclusion

In view of the foregoing, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner considers the

above-noted Amendment before examination on its merits. The Examiner is invited to contact

Applicants' undersigned representative to expedite prosecution.

Should the Examiner have any questions concerning this matter, he or she is invited to

contact the Applicants' undersigned attorney at the telephone listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

HOGAN & HARTSON LLP

Dated: July 27, 2005

HOGAN & HARTSON LLP

555 Thirteenth Street, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20004

Telephone: (202) 637-3703

Facsimile: (202) 637-5910

e-mail: cjcrowson@hhlaw.com

Customer No. 24633

Celine Jimenez Crowson

Registration No. 40,357

David D. Nelson

Registration No. 47,818

Reynold Aust

Registration No. 39,500

15